

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
14.08.2002 Bulletin 2002/33

(51) Int Cl.7: **H01M 8/06**, C01B 3/38,
C01B 3/32

(21) Application number: **02075111.1**

(22) Date of filing: **14.01.2002**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE TR
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

• **Noetzel, John**
Fairport, NY 14450 (US)
• **Grieve, Malcolm James**
Fairport, NY 14450 (US)

(30) Priority: **07.02.2001 US 778370**

(71) Applicant: **Delphi Technologies, Inc.**
Troy, MI 48007 (US)

(74) Representative: **Denton, Michael John et al**
Delphi Automotive Systems,
Centre Technique Paris,
117, avenue des Nations,
B.P. 60059
95972 Roissy Charles de Gaulle Cédex (FR)


(72) Inventors:
• **Haller, James Michael**
Pittsford, NY 14534 (US)

(54) **Estimate of reformate composition**

(57) A system (10) and method for modeling a reformate composition in an electric power system (10). The electric power system (10) may include a reformer, which produces a reformate (102), and an electrochemical cell, which utilizes the reformate (102) to generate electricity. The system (10) and method comprise: a reformer temperature sensor, which generates a reformer temperature signal representative of a reformer temper-

ature; an airflow sensor, which generates an airflow signal (122) representative of a measured airflow to the reformer; and a controller (30) configured to receive the abovementioned signals. The controller (30) performs the modeling and generates an estimate of the reformate (102) composition, where the estimate is responsive to at least one of the reformer temperature signal, the fuel flow signal (120), and the airflow signal (122).

Fig.2.



BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] Referring now to the accompanying drawings, which are meant to be exemplary not limiting, and wherein like elements are numbered alike in the several figures.

[0013] Figure 1 is a simplified block diagram depicting a typical reformer and SOFC system of an auxiliary power unit.

[0014] Figure 2 depicts an embodiment with a reformer and SOFC system employing dynamic pressure controls.

[0015] Figure 3 is a high level block diagram depicting the reformer - SOFC model and system; and

[0016] Figure 4 depicts a block diagram of the reformer model.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0017] Different types of SOFC systems exist, including tubular or planar systems. These various systems employ a variety of different cell configurations. Therefore, reference to a particular cell configuration and components for use within a particular cell configuration are intended to also represent similar components in other cell configurations where applicable.

[0018] FIG. 1 depicts a portion of a typical power system 10 employing a combined SOFC/WER 50 and a reformer 20. The combined SOFC/WER 50 includes, but is not limited to, a SOFC 52, a WER 54 the necessary interfaces to the control valve 40, external interfaces for input and exhaust, and sensor interfaces. In addition, throughout this document references to electrochemical cell, fuel cell, and solid oxide fuel cell are intended to represent the same entity and hereafter are termed SOFC 52. Fuel is supplied to the reformer 20, and processed resulting in a reformat 102, which is supplied to the SOFC 52. The reformat 102 is typically metered and controlled via a fixed orifice or valve 40, which directs the flow of the reformat 102 to the SOFC 52 or the WER 54. Generally, power systems 10 may comprise at least one SOFC 52, a WER 54, an engine, and one or more heat exchangers. In addition, a power system 10 may include one or more compressors, an exhaust turbine, a catalytic converter, preheating device, an electrical source (e.g., battery, capacitor, motor/generator, or turbine), and conventional connections, wiring, control valves, and a multiplicity of electrical loads, including, but not limited to, lights, resistive heaters, blowers, air conditioning compressors, starter motors, traction motors, computer systems, radio/stereo systems, and a multiplicity of sensors and actuators, and the like, as well as conventional components. In addition, the SOFC 52 may also be electrically connected with other SOFC's or electrochemical cells.

[0019] To facilitate the production of electricity by the SOFC, a direct supply of simple fuel, e.g., hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and/or methane is preferred. However,

er, concentrated supplies of these fuels are generally expensive and difficult to store and supply. Therefore, the fuel utilized may be obtained by processing of a more complex fuel. The actual fuel utilized in the system is typically chosen based upon the application, expense, availability, and environmental issues relating to a particular fuel. Possible fuels may include conventional fuels such as hydrocarbon fuels, including, but not limited to, conventional liquid fuels, such as gasoline, diesel, ethanol, methanol, kerosene, and others; conventional gaseous fuels, such as natural gas, propane, butane, and others; and alternative or "new" fuels, such as hydrogen, biofuels, dimethyl ether, and others; as well as combinations comprising at least one of the foregoing fuels. The preferred fuel is typically based upon the type of engine employed, with lighter fuels, i.e., those which can be more readily vaporized and/or conventional fuels which are readily available to consumers, generally preferred.

[0020] Furthermore, the fuel for the SOFC 52 or WER 54 may be processed in a reformer 20. A reformer 20 generally converts one type of fuel to another, more compatible with the SOFC 52 (e.g., hydrogen). Mainly, two types of reformer technologies are employed; steam reformers, which employ exothermic reaction, and partial oxidation reformers, which employ an endothermic reaction. Steam reformer technology is generally employed for converting methanol to hydrogen. Partial oxidation reformers are generally employed for converting gasoline to hydrogen. Typical design and utilization considerations for the reformers include rapid start, dynamic response time, fuel conversion efficiency, size, and weight.

[0021] The SOFC 50 and WER 54 may be used in conjunction with an engine, for example, to produce tractive power for a vehicle. Within the engine, SOFC effluent, air, and/or fuel are burned to produce energy, while the remainder of unburned fuel and reformed fuel is used as fuel in the SOFC 52 or WER 54. The engine may be any conventional combustion engine including, but not limited to, internal combustion engines such as spark ignited and compression ignited engines, including, but not limited to, variable compression engines.

[0022] FIG. 2 depicts a block diagram of an embodiment as interposed in a power system 10. As stated earlier reformer 20 processes fuel generating a reformat 102. The reformat 102 flows to the SOFC 52 or WER 54 via a valve 40. The valve 40 is configured to receive a command from the controller 30 to direct the flow of reformat 102 to either the SOFC 52 or the WER 54.

[0023] In order to perform the prescribed functions and desired processing, as well as the computations therefore (e.g., the execution of pressure control algorithm(s), and the like), controller 30 may include, but not be limited to, a processor(s), computer(s), memory, storage, register(s), timing, interrupt(s), communication interfaces, and input/output signal interfaces, as well as combinations comprising at least one of the foregoing.

table is an expected reformat concentration, which provides the initial estimate of the reformat composition desired from the model. The multidimensional look-up table 230 is indexed by both the estimated reformer bed temperature and the calculated equivalence ratio (λ) to generate the expected reformat concentration. For example, the expected percentage of hydrogen present in the reformat. The look up table resultant may then be adjusted as needed based upon reformer and system parameters to compensate for a broader range of operational characteristics.

[0029] Continuing with FIG. 4, at scheduling process 240 of the reformer model 200, the lookup table resultant is scheduled as a function of the reformer inlet temperature 124 and the fuel flow yielding a compensated estimate of the reformat concentration. The scheduling as a function of temperature addresses the consideration that the formation of air/fuel vapor and the homogeneity of the mixture may vary as a function of temperature and therefore, may, adversely affect the performance of the reformer 20. Likewise, fuel flow is also considered because as the fuel flow changes, the formation of the homogeneous mixture may be affected. Therefore, the scheduling of the estimated reformat concentration, while not necessary, enhances the performance of the reformer 20 over a wider variety of operational conditions. For example, where system operational requirements dictate the operation of the reformer 20 under less than ideal conditions such as low flow of the reformat. It will be further appreciated that such scheduling need not be limited to the two parameters disclosed. It may be possible to schedule as a function of various system parameters including airflow, additional temperatures, other system parameters, and the like, as well as combinations thereof.

[0030] It will be appreciated that while the disclosed embodiments refer in several instances, to a configuration utilizing look-up tables in implementation, such a reference is illustrative only and not limiting. Various alternatives will be apparent to those skilled in the art. For example, the processes described above could employ, in addition to or in lieu of look-up tables, direct algorithms, gain or parameter scheduling, linearized interpolation or extrapolation, and/or various other methodologies, which may facilitate execution of the desired functions.

[0031] Another process depicted in FIG. 4 is the trimming of the compensated estimate of the reformat concentration generated at 240. The trimming process 260 schedules the estimate of reformat concentration as a function of the reformer exothermic reaction. In this instance, the trimming accounts for performance degradation of the reformer 20 over its operational life. The trim adjustment may typically be based upon the thermal response of the reformer to the reformat flow rate and equivalence ratio. For example, for a known fuel rate and equivalence ratio, an expected temperature change may be determined. Over time, a degradation of the re-

former catalyst will result in a change in this exothermic reaction, which may be ascertained, and compensated for in the model.

[0032] The final process depicted in FIG. 4 is the optional calibration of the compensated estimate of the reformat concentration at 250. The calibration process 250 once again adjusts the estimate of reformat concentration. In this instance, the adjustment is applied namely during development to compensate the estimate for unmodeled responses and errors. The calibration adjustment may typically be based upon inputs from a developmental external hydrogen concentration sensor, and the fuel utilization.

[0033] The disclosed method may be embodied in the form of computer-implemented processes and apparatuses for practicing those processes. The method can also be embodied in the form of computer program code containing instructions embodied in tangible media, such as floppy diskettes, CD-ROMs, hard drives, or any other computer-readable storage medium, wherein, when the computer program code is loaded into and executed by a computer, the computer becomes an apparatus capable of executing the method. The present method can also be embodied in the form of computer program code, for example, whether stored in a storage medium, loaded into and/or executed by a computer, or as data signal transmitted whether a modulated carrier wave or not, over some transmission medium, such as over electrical wiring or cabling, through fiber optics, or via electromagnetic radiation, wherein, when the computer program code is loaded into and executed by a computer, the computer becomes an apparatus capable of executing the method. When implemented on a general-purpose microprocessor, the computer program code segments configure the microprocessor to create specific logic circuits.

[0034] Therefore, the foregoing disclosure provides methodologies and systems for estimating the composition of reformat generated by a reformer and applied to an electrochemical cell. The estimation allows for elimination of potentially expensive sensors and processing for measuring the composition of the reformat and enhances the existing algorithms or methods utilized to control a reformer or electrochemical cell in a power system. The estimation also allows a power system to determine when the reformat quality is adequate for delivery to utilizing components (e.g., electrochemical cell), especially during transient conditions (e.g., startup and shut down). Moreover, the same methodologies may be applied to the evaluation of hot gases delivered to an engine, for example an internal combustion engine, which may be coupled to such a power system.

[0035] While preferred embodiments have been shown and described, various modifications and substitutions may be made thereto without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, it is to be understood that the present invention has been described by way of illustration only, and such illustrations

said composition is responsive to said expected reformate concentration scheduled as a function of said reformer temperature signal and said fuel flow signal (120).

23. The method of Claim 1 wherein said estimate of said composition is responsive to a calibration adjustment.

24. A system (10) for estimating reformate (102) composition in an electric power system (10) comprising:

a reformer temperature sensor configured to measure a temperature in proximity to a reformer;
a fuel flow sensor disposed in a fuel supply to said reformer;
an airflow sensor disposed in an air supply to said reformer;
a controller (30) coupled to said reformer temperature sensor, said fuel flow sensor, and said airflow sensor;

wherein said controller (30) is configured to receive a reformer temperature signal from said reformer temperature sensor, a fuel flow signal (120) from said fuel flow sensor, and an airflow signal (122) from said airflow sensor; and

wherein said estimating is responsive to at least one of said reformer temperature signal, said fuel flow signal (120), and said airflow signal (122).

25. The system (10) of Claim 24 wherein said reformer temperature signal is representative of a reformer outlet temperature signal (126).

26. The system (10) of Claim 25 wherein said reformer temperature signal is representative of a reformer inlet temperature signal (124).

27. The system (10) of Claim 25 wherein said reformer temperature signal is representative of a reformer zone vicinity temperature signal (128).

28. The system (10) of Claim 24 wherein said estimating is responsive to an estimated reformer bed temperature.

29. The system (10) of Claim 28 wherein said estimated reformer bed temperature is responsive to said reformer temperature signal.

30. The system (10) of Claim 29 wherein said reformer temperature signal is representative of a reformer outlet temperature signal (126).

31. The system (10) of Claim 29 wherein said reformer

temperature signal is representative of a reformer inlet temperature signal (124).

32. The system (10) of Claim 29 wherein said reformer temperature signal is representative of a reformer zone vicinity temperature signal (128).

33. The system (10) of Claim 29 wherein said reformer temperature signal is representative of a combination of said reformer outlet temperature signal (126), said reformer inlet temperature signal (124), and said reformer zone vicinity temperature signal (128).

34. The system (10) of Claim 24 wherein said estimating is responsive to a calculated equivalence ratio.

35. The system (10) of Claim 34 wherein said calculated equivalence ratio is responsive to a combination of said fuel flow signal (120), said airflow signal (122), and a stoichiometry factor (130).

36. The system (10) of Claim 24 wherein said estimating is responsive to an expected reformate concentration generated by a multidimensional lookup table (230) indexed by an estimated reformer bed temperature and a calculated equivalence ratio.

37. The system (10) of Claim 24 wherein said estimating is responsive to an expected reformate concentration scheduled as a function of an inlet temperature signal (124) and said fuel flow signal (120).

38. The system (10) of Claim 37 wherein said expected reformate concentration is scheduled to compensate for adverse effects on reformer (20) performance and enhance reformer (20) performance.

39. The system (10) of Claim 24 wherein said estimating is responsive to a trim adjustment to compensate for reformer (20) degradation.

40. The system (10) of Claim 39 wherein said trim adjustment comprises scaling said estimate of said composition based upon a scale factor responsive to a thermal response of said reformer (20) to a flow rate of said reformate (102) and an equivalence ratio.

41. The system (10) of Claim 25 wherein said estimating is responsive to an estimated reformer bed temperature.

42. The system (10) of Claim 41 wherein said estimating is responsive to a calculated equivalence ratio.

43. The system (10) of Claim 42 wherein said calculated equivalence ratio is responsive to a combination

ed equivalence ratio.

64. The computer data signal of Claim 57 wherein said estimate of said composition is responsive to an expected reformat concentration scheduled as a function of a reformer inlet temperature signal (124) and said fuel flow signal (120). 5
65. The computer data signal of Claim 57 wherein said estimate of said composition is responsive to a trim adjustment to compensate for reformer degradation. 10
66. The computer data signal of Claim 58 wherein said estimate of said composition is responsive to an estimated reformer bed temperature. 15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

Fig.2.






Fig. 4.





Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) **EP 1 231 665 A3**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(88) Date of publication A3:
18.02.2004 Bulletin 2004/08

(51) Int Cl.7: **H01M 8/06, C01B 3/32**

(43) Date of publication A2:
14.08.2002 Bulletin 2002/33

(21) Application number: **02075111.1**

(22) Date of filing: **14.01.2002**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE TR**
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

• **Noetzel, John**
Fairport, NY 14450 (US)
• **Grieve, Malcolm James**
Fairport, NY 14450 (US)

(30) Priority: **07.02.2001 US 778370**

(71) Applicant: **Delphi Technologies, Inc.**
Troy, MI 48007 (US)

(74) Representative: **Denton, Michael John et al**
Delphi European Headquarters,
64 avenue de la Plaine de France,
Paris Nord II,
BP 60059,
Tremblay-en-France
95972 Roissy Charles de Gaulle Cédex (FR)

(72) Inventors:
• **Haller, James Michael**
Pittsford, NY 14534 (US)

(54) **Estimate of reformat composition**

(57) A system (10) and method for modeling a reformat composition in an electric power system (10). The electric power system (10) may include a reformer, which produces a reformat (102), and an electrochemical cell, which utilizes the reformat (102) to generate electricity. The system (10) and method comprise: a reformer temperature sensor, which generates a reformer temperature signal representative of a reformer temper-

ature; an airflow sensor, which generates an airflow signal (122) representative of a measured airflow to the reformer; and a controller (30) configured to receive the abovementioned signals. The controller (30) performs the modeling and generates an estimate of the reformat (102) composition, where the estimate is responsive to at least one of the reformer temperature signal, the fuel flow signal (120), and the airflow signal (122).




FIG. 2

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 02 07 5111

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

19-12-2003

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0878859	A	18-11-1998	JP	10321249 A	04-12-1998
			CA	2236637 A1	15-11-1998
			DE	69801394 D1	27-09-2001
			DE	69801394 T2	29-05-2002
			EP	0878859 A1	18-11-1998
			US	6106963 A	22-08-2000

US 6063515	A	16-05-2000	NONE		

EPO FORM P0458

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82